IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

APPELLATE DIVISION (CIVIL): AY CASE NO.: 502017AP000011CAXXMB L.T. No.: 502016TR146343AXXXNB

ASHANTI PATRESSA YOUNG, Appellant,

V.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Appellee.

Opinion filed:

JAN 1 8 2018

Appeal from the County Court in and for Palm Beach County, Judge Sandra Bosso-Pardo

For Appellant:

Joel L. Mumford, Esq.

2219 Belvedere Road

West Palm Beach, FL 33406 joellmumford@gmail.com

For Appellee:

Town of Jupiter Police

210 Military Trail Jupiter, FL 33458

pd-records@jupiter.fl.us

PER CURIAM.

Appellant Ashanti Patressa Young ("Appellant") was issued a traffic citation for failing to yield to oncoming traffic while making a left turn, in violation of section 316.122, Florida Statutes. Appellant proceeded to trial where the State submitted no evidence establishing that Appellant drove the vehicle when the alleged infraction occurred. At trial, Appellant argued an oral motion to dismiss, contending that the citation should be dismissed because no evidence admitted at trial established Appellant drove the vehicle when the alleged infraction occurred. The trial court denied the motion to dismiss and entered a final disposition adjudicating Appellant guilty of the infraction.

Appellant argues, and we agree, that the trial court erred in denying Appellant's oral motion to dismiss and in finding Appellant guilty of violating section 316.122, Florida Statutes. The identification of an alleged offender "as the perpetrator of [a] traffic infraction is a necessary element in every infraction case." *Meisel v. State*, 10 Fla. L. Weekly Supp. 235b (Fla. 15th Cir. Ct. Feb. 2003). "If there is insufficient evidence identifying the alleged offender . . . acquittal is warranted" *Id.* Here, because no evidence admitted at trial established that Appellant drove the vehicle when the alleged infraction occurred, the trial court erred in denying Appellants oral motion to dismiss and in subsequently finding Appellant guilty of violating section 316.122, Florida Statutes. *Id.* Accordingly, Appellant's conviction is **REVERSED**.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

			CASE NO.: 5	DIVISION (CIVIL): AY 02017AP000011CAXXMB 016TR146343AXXXNB	
AS	SHANTI PATRESSA YOU	JNG,		0	
	Appellant,		Opinion/Decis	ion filed: JAN 1 8 2018	
v.				ne County Court in and for	
rz	TATE OF FLORIDA,		Palm Beach Co	ounty; Sandra Bosso-Pardo	
31	Appellee(s).		Date of Appea	l: January 27, 2017	
********		/			
DA	DATE OF PANEL: <u>DECEMBER 12, 2017</u>				
PA	PANEL JUDGES: J. MARX, BLANC, FRENCH				
AI	AFFIRMED/REVERSED/OTHER: REVERSED				
PE	PER CURIAM OPINION/DECISION BY: PER CURIAM				
CO	ONCURRING:) DISSENTIN) CONCURRING SPECIALLY:)
	0 (1)) With/Witho	ut Opinion) With/Without Opinion)
	0-18 July 1 af)		ý)
DA	ATE: J.)	J.	J.)
1117/1)))
DA	ATE: J.)	J.	J.)
1/17/18	Well I fel))))
DA	ATE: J.)	J.	J.)